1	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA						
2	HBV: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094)						
3	I GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (Spire Bar No. 150251) - GARY M. BLAD -						
4	GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171)						
5	1112 Santa Barbara Street						
6	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300						
7	FAX: (805) 568-2398						
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA						
10	SANTA MARIA DIVISION						
11							
12	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, \ No. 1133603						
13	Plaintiff, PLAINTIFF'S NOTICE OF REQUEST FOR ORDER						
14	DIRECTING THAT PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO DEFENDANT'S						
15	OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO						
16	v.) ADMIT EXPERT EVIDENCE OF CHILD ABUSE TRAUMA SYNDROME BE MAINTAINED						
17	UNDER CONDITIONAL SEAL						
18	MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, DECLARATION OF GERALD McC. FRANKLIN IN SUPPORT						
19	Defendant) THEREOF: MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES						
20	Tillacts.com						
21	DATE: January 21, 2005 TIME: 9:30 a.m.						
22	DEPT: TBA (Mclville)						
25							
24	TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.,						
25	ROBERT SANGER AND BRIAN OXMAN, HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD, AND TO						
26	THEODORE J. BOUTROUS, JR., ESQ., GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP:						
27	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 21, 2005, at 9:30 a.m. or as soon						
28	thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the Department to be assigned, Plaintiff will, and						
J.	PLAINITF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY RE OPPOSITION TO CATS EVIDENCE						

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ORDER SEALING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY RE OPPOSITION TO CATS EVIDENCE

I, Gerald McC. Franklin, say:

 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People. Plaintiff in this action.

- 2. Plaintiff's Motion to Conditionally Seal Plaintiff's Reply to Defendant's Opposition to Motion to Admit Expert Evidence of Child Abuse Accommodation Syndrome. filed contemporaneously with the Reply, is made on the ground that the Response makes reference to evidentiary facts not yet made public.
- 3. I believe that the interest of each party to a fair trial overrides the public's prompt access to Plaintiff's Response until the appropriateness of the release of a reducted version of the Response is determined by the Court.
- 4. I believe an order maintaining our Plaintiff's Reply to Descondant's Opposition to Motion to Admit Expert Evidence of Child Abuse Accommodation Syndrome under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that pleading could be drasted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on January 20, 2005.

Gerald McC. Franklin

1	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES					
2	The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq					
3	applies only to records that are deemed public. (Id., rule 243.1(a)(2).) Motions and responsive					
ا ۵	pleadings in criminal cases are, ordinarily, "public" records of the court.					
5	Rule 243.1(d) provides that					
6	The court may order that a record be filed under scal only if it					
7	expressly finds facts that establish:					
8	(1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;					
9						
10	(2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;					
11	(3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will					
12	be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;					
1						

- (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
- (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order scaling the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the scaling of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under scal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under scal], the lodged record will be conditionally under scal."

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

27 11/

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 ////

		<u>. 6 000</u>	•				
1	DATED: January 20), 200 <mark>5</mark>	•				
2	Respectfully submitted,						
3	THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Sunta Barbara						
4	County of Simila Barbera						
5	By: Joseph Mel. Grand						
6	Gerald McC. Franklin, Senior Deputy Attorneys for Plaintiff						
7							
8	mjfacts.co						
9							
10							
11	dia.			<u>. 6 Me</u>			
13							
14	ifacts.com	mifacts.com					
15							
16							
17							
18		•		•			
19	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com						
20	Illjiacts.c						
21							
22							
23							
24	-						
25	ifacts com			mjfacts.com			
26		mjfacts.cor		ngraces.com			
28							
		-					
		5					
₩.	ILAINTIFF'S MOITON FOIL OIL						
			mjfacts .co	m			