THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY 1 County of Santa Barbara JAN 18 2005 2 By: RONALD J. ZONEN (State Bar No. 85094) Senior Deputy District Attorney GARY M. BLAIR, Executive Officer GORDON AUCHINCLOSS (State Bar No. 150251) 3 By Carrie & Wagner Senior Deputy District Attorney CARRIE L WAGNER, Deputy Clerk GERALD McC. FRANKLIN (State Bar No. 40171) 4 Senior Deputy District Attorney 1105 Santa Barbara Street 5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 568-2300 6 FAX: (805) 568-2398 7 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA 9 SANTA MARIA DIVISION 10 11 12 No. 1133603 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. PEOPLE'S MOTION FOR 13 ORDER SEALING Plaintiff. 14 PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EVIDENCE 15 CODE § 402 ISSUES MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, 16 Date: January 28, 2005 Defendant. 17 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: SM2 (Melville) 18 19 20 21 22 TO: MICHAEL JOE JACKSON, AND TO THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., 23 STEVE COCHRAN, BRIAN OXMAN AND ROBERT SANGER, HIS ATTORNEYS OF 24 RECORD, AND TO GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP, ATTORNEYS FOR "MEDIA": 25 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on January 28, 2005, at \$:30 a.m. or as soon 26 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Department SM 2, Plaintiff will, and hereby does, 27 move for an order directing that the following records be maintained under conditional seal 28

PEOPLE'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO CONTINUE

until further order of court, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq: "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 402 ISSUES" filed January 18, 2005.

The motion will be made on the ground that the facts, as established by the accompanying declaration of Gordon Auchincloss, are sufficient to justify sealing the specified records pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq.

The motion will be based on this notice of motion, on the declaration of Gordon Auchincloss and the memorandum of points and authorities served and filed herewith, on the records and the file herein, and on such evidence as may be presented at the hearing of the motion.

A proposed redacted version of the PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 402 ISSUES is submitted, under seal, with this request for sealing.

DATED: January 17, 2005

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR. District Attorney

Gordon Auchincloss, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

I, GORDON AUCHINCLOSS, say:

- 1. I am a lawyer admitted to practice in the State of California. I am a Senior Deputy of the District Attorney of Santa Barbara County. I am one of the lawyers of record for the People, Plaintiff in this action.
- 2. This motion to seal records pertains to "PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 402 ISSUES" filed on January 18, 2005.
- 3. I believe some of the information set out in the application for the orders to show cause should remain confidential, because it discloses the names of other persons whose identities have been kept confidential throughout the public proceedings in this matter in addition to disclosing pertinent facts.
- 4. I believe the interests of both parties in a fair trial by a jury would be enhanced if the identities of such persons were disclosed and publicized prematurely.
- 5. I therefore believe that the interest in a fair trial overrides the public's access to an unredacted version of plaintiff's request and its supporting declaration, and supports the sealing of those documents until further order of court.
- 6. I believe an order maintaining the Request and supporting Declaration under seal in the interim would avert the probability of prejudice, and that no more narrowly tailored order with respect to that request could be drafted to achieve the overriding interest in a fair trial.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of California that the foregoing is true and correct, except as to matters stated upon my information and belief, and as to such matters I believe it to be true. I execute this declaration at Santa Barbara, California on January 17, 2005.

Gordon Auchincloss

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

The procedure for sealing records under California Rules of Court, rule 243.1 et seq. applies only to records that are deemed public. (*Id.*, rule 243.1(a)(2).)

Rule 243.1(d) provides that

The court may order that a record be filed under seal only if it expressly finds facts that establish:

- (1) There exists an overriding interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record;
  - (2) The overriding interest supports sealing the record;
- (3) A substantial probability exists that the overriding interest will be prejudiced if the record is not sealed;
  - (4) The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored; and
  - (5) No less restrictive means exist to achieve the overriding interest.

Rule 243.1(e) provides, in pertinent part:

(1) An order sealing the record must (i) specifically set forth the facts findings that support the findings and (ii) direct the sealing of only those documents and pages, or, if reasonably practicable, portions of those documents and pages, that contain the material that needs to be placed under seal. All other portions of each documents or page must be included in the public file.

Rule 243.2(b) provides, in pertinent part, that "Pending the determination of the motion [of a party to file a record under seal], the lodged record will be conditionally under seal."

DATED: January 17, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS W. SNEDDON, JR., DISTRICT ATTORNEY County of Santa Barbara

By:

Gordon Auchincloss, Senior Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff

## PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA

LOCATION:8055601077

{ss

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and I am not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is: District Attorney's Office; Courthouse; 1105 Santa Barbara Street, Santa Barbara, California 93101.

On January 10, 2005, I served the within PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE RE: EVIDENCE CODE SECTION 402 ISSUES; on Defendant, by THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR., ROBERT SANGER, BRIAN OXMAN and GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP, by faxing a true copy to counsel at the facsimile number shown with the address of each on the attached Service List, and then by causing to be mailed a true copy to each counsel at that address.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Santa Barbara, California on this 17th day of January, 2005.

Tis Linz

RX TIME 01/18 '05 08:49

## **SERVICE LIST**

THOMAS A. MESEREAU, JR.
Collins, Mesereau, Reddock & Yu, LLP
1875 Century Park East, No. 700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Los Angeles, CA 90067 FAX: (310) 284-3133
Attorney for Defendant Michael Jackson

OXMAN & JAROSCAK
Brian Oxman State Bar Number 072172
14126 East Rosecrans
Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670
Tel.:(562)921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298
Co-counsel for Defendant

ROBERT SANGER, ESQ. Sanger & Swysen, Lawyers 233 E. Carrillo Street, Suite C Santa Barbara, CA 93001 FAX: (805) 963-7311 Co-counsel for Defendant

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP Theodore J. Boutrous, Jr., Esq. Julian W. Poon, Esq. 333 S. Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3917 FAX: (213) 229-6758

Counsel for, collectively, "Media"