-		
2	COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr., State Bar Number 091182 Susan C. Yu, State Bar Number 195640 1875 Century Park East, 7th Floor	
3 4	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Tel.: (310) 284-3120, Fax: (310) 284-3133	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA
5	SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger, State Bar Number 05821- 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C	S GARY M RI AIR
6 7	Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Tel.: (805) 962-4887, Fax: (805) 963-7311	CARRIE L WAGNER, Debuty Clerk
8 9	OXMAN & JAROSCAK Brian Oxman, State Bar Number 072172 14126 East Rosecrans Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670 Tel.; (562) 921-5058, Fax: (562) 921-2298	
11	Attorneys for Defendant MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON	
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
13	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, COOK DIVISION	
15 16	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	) Case No. 1133603
17 18	Plaintiffs,	MOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES (Evid.
19	MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON,	Code Sections 1108, 1101(b)) AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE
20	Defendant.	HEARD IN CHAMBERS
21		UNDER SEAV
23		Honorable Rodney S. Melville Date: January 12, 2005 Time: 8:30 a.m.
24	<b>6</b>	Dept.: 8
25 26	mjfacts.com mjfacts.com mjfacts.com	
27		
23	MOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES (Evid. Code Sections 1108, 1101(b)) AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE HEARD IN CHAMBERS	
- 1		3

S.q

A E

5 6 7

li

TO THE CLERK OF THE ABOVE ENTITLED COURT, AND TO THE DISTRICT
ATTORNEY OF THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA, TOM SNEDDON, AND DEPUTY
DISTRICT ATTORNEYS GERALD FRANKLIN, RON ZONEN AND GORDON
AUCHINCLOSS, AND TO THE MEDIA AND THEIR REPRESENTATIVE, THEODORE
BOUTROUS:

Please take notice that the Defendant does hereby move and will further move on January 12, 2005 at 8:30 a.m., or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard in Department 8 of the above entitled court, for an order that the hearing on the District Attorney's motion for admission of alleged prior offense, pursuant to Evidence Code Sections 1108 and 1101(b), and all other pretrial hearings pertaining to the admissibility of evidence, be heard in chambers, and for such other and further relief as the Court may deem just an proper. This request is based on the overriding interests of Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

This motion is based on the grounds that disclosing the prosecution's alleged prior offense evidence, as well as other evidence that the parties are seeking to admit, before the Court has had a chance to rule on whether the evidence is admissible, would deprive Mr. Jackson of his rights to due process and a fair trial by prejudicing the already summoned jury pool.

cts.com mifa

MOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES (Evid. Code Sections 1108, 1101(b)) AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE HEARD IN CHAMBERS

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

This motion is based on this Notice of Motion, and the Memorandum of Points and 1 Authorities attached hereto, the papers, records and files in this case and such other matters as 2 may be received by the Court at or after the hearing scheduled on this motion. 3 Dated: January 7, 2005 ű Respectfully submitted, 5 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU 5 Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. 7 Susan C. Yu SANGER & SWYSEN 8 Robert M. Sanger 9 **OXMAN & JAROSCAK** Brian Oxman 10 11 By: 12 obert M. Sanger Attomeys for Defendant 13 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKS 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 MOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR 28 ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES (Evid. Code Sections 1105, 1101(b)) AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE HEARD IN CHAMBERS

-

mjfacts.com

mjfacts.com

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES ARGUMENT

mifacts.com

## THERE IS A SUBSTANTIAL PROBABILITY THAT OPEN ADMISSIBILITY HEARINGS WOULD NOT ALLOW MR. JACKSON TO HAVE A FAIR TRIAL

The test for determining whether or not to close a criminal proceeding to the public is whether there is a substantial probability that an open hearing would offset the right to a fair trial. (Press-Enterprise Co. V. Superior Court (1986) 478 U.S. 1, 13.) Here, the prosecution is seeking to introduce inflammatory, and unfounded, testimony regarding alleged prior sexual offenses. The public disclosure of this evidence that may or may not be admissible would deprive Mr. Jackson of his right to a fair trial by prejudicing the jury pool. Jury summonses have already been sent out and the trial is less than four weeks away. The disclosure of such prejudicial information on the eve of trial would violate Mr. Jackson's rights to due process and a fair trial under the Fifth, Sixth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and Article I, Sections 1, 7, and 15 of the California Constitution.

II.

# THERE IS NO REMEDY OTHER THAN CONDUCTING ALL OR PART OF THE EVIDENTIARY HEARINGS IN CHAMBERS THAT WILL PROTECT MR. JACKSON'S RIGHT TO A FAIR TRIAL

The Court is required to explore remedies other than closure before the Court may close a criminal proceeding to the public. (Ortega v. Superior Court (1982) 135 Cal.App. 3d 244.)

Here, closed hearings are necessary in order to prevent the dissemination of inadmissible and inflammatory material to the jury pool. The media coverage of this case is unprecedented and it is certain that anything said in open court will be broadcast to any of the already summoned potential jurors who read the newspaper, watch television, listen to the radio or visit news or entertainment websites. Anything short of at least partially closed hearings will result in highly

MOTION FOR AN ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES (Evid. Code Sections 1108, 1101(b)) AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE HEARD IN CHAMBERS

--- 16---b-

Z

prejudicial material being broadcast to the already summoned jurors. 2 III. 3 CONCLUSION 4 For the above stated reasons, Mr. Jackson respectfully requests that this Court issue an order that the hearing on the prosecutions alleged prior offenses motion, and all other hearings 5 regarding the admissibility of evidence, take place in chambers. 6 7 Dated: January 7, 2005 COLLINS, MESEREAU, REDDOCK & YU Thomas A. Mesereau, Jr. 8 Susan C. Yu 9 SANGER & SWYSEN Robert M. Sanger 10 OXMAN & JAROSCAK 11 Brian Oxman 12 13 By: Attorneys for Defendant 14 MICHAEL JOSEPH JACKSON 15 15 17 19 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 23

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned declare:

Jam over the age of 18 years and not a party to the within action. Jam employed in the County of Santa Barbara. My business address is 233 East Carrillo Street, Suite C, Santa Barbara, California, 93101

On January 7, 2005, I served the foregoing document MOTION FOR ORDER THAT THE HEARING ON THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION OF ALLEGED PRIOR OFFENSES AND ALL OTHER PRETRIAL HEARINGS ON THE ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE BE HEARD IN CHAMBERS on the interested parties in this action by depositing a true copy thereof as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

BY U.S. MAIL - I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collection of mail and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Such correspondence is deposited daily with the United States Postal Service in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid and deposited during the ordinary course of business. Service made pursuant to this paragraph, upon motion of a party, shall be presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope is more than one day after the date of deposit. FEDERAL EXPRESS

BY FACSIMILE -I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be transmitted via facsimile to the interested parties

BY HAND - I caused the document to be hand delivered to the interested parties at the address above. TO THE SANTA BARBARA DISTRICT ATTORNEY ONLY

X STATE-I declare under penalty of penjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

FEDERAL - I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed JANUARY 7, 2005, at Santa Barbara, California.

BOBETTE J TRY

mifacts.com







SERVICE LIST

mjfacts.com

### District Attorney of the County of Santa Barbara

Tom Sneddon
Gerald Franklin
Ron Zonen
Gordon Auchineloss
1112 Santa Barbara Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Fax - 805-568-2398



#### Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Theordore J. Boutrous Jr.
William E. Thomson
Michael H. Dore
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 91171
Fax - 213-229-7520





facts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



mjfacts.com



nifacts con